01		
02		
03	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
04	AT SEATTLE	
05	ALLAH,	CASE NO. C05-1480-MJP-MAT
06	Petitioner,	
07	v.	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS
08	KAREN BRUNSON,	
09	Respondent.	
10		
11	This a federal habeas action which is proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Currently	
12	pending before the Court are petitioner's motion for immediate release, petitioner's two motions	
13	for immediate release and costs and summary judgment, petitioner's motion pursuant to UCC	
14	RCW 62A.1-204, and respondent's motion for an extension of time to file an answer to	
15	petitioner's federal habeas petition.	
16	Each of petitioner's motions for immediate release asserts essentially the same thing; <i>i.e.</i> ,	
17	that respondent has not timely answered petitioner's federal habeas petition. Petitioner's motion	
18	pursuant to UCC RCW 62A.1-204 appears to set forth the conditions under which he will accept	
19	respondent's motion for extension of time. Respondent's motion for extension of time requests	
20	an extension of time until November 6, 2008, to file her answer to petitioner's federal habeas	
21	petition because of difficulties counsel has encountered in attempting to obtain the state court	
22	records necessary to adequately respond to petitioner's federal habeas petition.	
	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -1	

01 The Court, having reviewed the parties' pending motions, and the balance of the record, 02 does hereby find and ORDER as follows: 03 Respondent's motion for an extension of time (Dkt. No. 31) is GRANTED. (1) Respondent is directed to file and serve her answer to petitioner's federal habeas petition not later 05 than November 6, 2008. 06 Petitioner's motions for immediate release (Dkt. Nos. 32, 33, and 37) are (2) STRICKEN. As this Court has determined that respondent's requested extension is warranted, petitioner's motions seeking release from custody based upon respondent's failure to timely respond to his federal habeas petition are moot. 09 10 (3) Petitioner's motion pursuant to UCC RCW 62A.1-204 (Dkt. No. 36) is STRICKEN. Whether or not petitioner elects to accept respondent's request for extension of time is not relevant to whether respondent's motion has merit. 13 **(4)** The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for respondent, and to the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman. 15 DATED this 10th day of October, 2008. 16 17 United States Magistrate Judge 18 19 20 21 22

ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -2